



**PLANNING COMMITTEE**

Tuesday 21 January 2020 at 6.00 pm

Council Chamber - Ryedale House, Malton, North Yorkshire YO17 7HH

**Agenda**

19 **Late observations**

(Pages 2 - 4)

# Agenda Item 19

RYEDALE  
DISTRICT  
COUNCIL



Please Contact: Lizzie Phippard

Extension 43293

Email: [lizzie.phippard@ryedale.gov.uk](mailto:lizzie.phippard@ryedale.gov.uk)

All Members of the Planning Committee  
Council Solicitor  
Head of Planning  
Planning and Regulation Technical Support Manager

Ref: Agendas/Planning/2019/20

17<sup>th</sup> January 2020

Dear Councillor

**Meeting of the Planning Committee – 21<sup>st</sup> January 2020**

With reference to the above meeting I enclose for your attention the late observations received since despatch of the agenda.

All items for the late observations relate to:

Item 8 – 18/00580/MFUL

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'K Hood'.

Mrs Karen Hood  
Planning and Regulation Technical Support Manager

Item 8 – 18/00580/MFUL

**From:** ian simpson

**Sent:** 14 January 2020 10:25

**To:** Rachael Balmer

**Cc:** Cllr Anthony Riby Stewart Slater, Lorraine Ham

**Subject:** Planning Application 18/00580/MFUL

Dear Rachael,

Further to the publication of the officers' report relating to the above application, I wish to make the following points ahead of next weeks committee meeting.

2.8 refers to 'Matters concerning hedgerow removal, raised in the consultation in this instance are not enforceable as the Authority is unable to establish when the hedging was removed'.

May I recommend consulting both satellite and streetview images on google maps. These clearly show the hedgerow in place at the time of marketing the site. The agents signboard (MPC 01904 692929 'Development opportunity for eco camping pods and lodges) is visible. Therefore, the current applicant should be able/made to explain how the hedgerow became to be removed and in addition, the installation of a new access gate with concrete crossover installed.

Recommendation 8. Discharge Of Surface Water, states 'There shall be no access or egress by any vehicles between highway and the applicants site until full details.....have been submitted and approved'.

Recommendation 9 Private Access/Verge Crossings states 'There shall be no depositing of material on the site until the accesses to the site have been set out.....and approved by the LPA.

Recommendation 14 Ditch to be piped states 'There shall be no access or egress by any vehicle between highway and the applicants site until full technical details relating to the bridging/culverting of the watercourse adjacent to the site have been submitted and approved in writing'.

I wish to draw your attention to a load of aggregate deposited last week within the site at the entrance to the wooded area. Whilst I support the recommendations in your report I nevertheless believe they will need to be carefully monitored in order to ensure compliance and that the authority is not once again presented with a fait accompli.

Finally, may I ask you to consider a further recommendation that insists upon the power cables to the electricity sub-station are taken underground both to and within the site.

Kind regards,

Ian S Simpson.

Item 8 – 2 18/00580/MFUL

From: Tim Rooke  
Sent: 16 January 2020 20:17  
To: Rachael Balmer  
Subject: Wombleton

Good evening Rachael I see the Gracmax development behind my store is up for approval My concerns are no contact from Herbert , This store has potentially potatoes in it from September to June Not the three months mentioned in the application .

It would be quieter with mains electricity but under this application he does not have to supply me How do we know the proposed bundling ect ect will be adequate Will it be tested at maximum extremes I.e. Stores full of potatoes Louvers fully open for ambient air No straw in shed .

If there was mains power

Eliminate generator

Inverters on fans so they could run at low power quietly This is where I was trying to get to with Herbert over mains power and your 106 agreement This I feel is the only way forward The proposed application I feel cannot work without the 106 agreement Regards Tim Rooke P&Tw&SR Rooke